High Accuracy Real-time Multi-Gas Identification by Batch-Uniform Gas Sensor Array and Deep Learning Algorithm
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ABSTRACT: Semiconductor metal oxide (SMO) gas sensors are attracting great attention as the next-generation environmental monitoring sensors. However, there are limitations in the actual applications of SMO gas sensors due to their low selectivity. Although the electronic nose (E-nose) systems based on a sensor array are regarded as a solution for the selectivity issue, poor accuracy caused by the non-uniformity of the fabricated gas sensors and difficulty of real-time gas detection have yet to be resolved. In this study, these problems have been solved by fabricating uniform gas sensor arrays and applying the deep learning algorithm to the data from the sensor arrays. Nanocolumnar films of metal oxides (SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO) with a high batch uniformity deposited through glancing angle deposition (GLAD) were used as the sensing materials. The convolutional neural network (CNN) using the input data as a matrix form was adopted as a learning algorithm, which could conduct pattern recognition of the sensor responses. Finally, a real-time selective gas detection for CO, NH$_3$, NO$_2$, CH$_4$, and Acetone (C$_5$H$_8$O) gas was achieved (minimum response time of 1, 8, 5, 19, and 2 sec, respectively) with an accuracy of 98% by applying preprocessed response data to the CNN.

Recently, the demand for gas monitoring systems keeps increasing due to various damages and air pollutions caused by the leaks of chemical gases from industrial sites and automobile exhausts. Although gas chromatography, which is one of the most conventional methods to analyze gases, has high accuracy, it has limitations in its portable usage because the equipment is very large and expensive. To overcome the shortcomings of the gas chromatography, various researches on miniaturized gas sensors such as catalytic combustion type, 1,2 electrochemical type, 3, 4 optical type, 5 and chemiresistive type 6-9 gas sensors have been actively conducted. Among them, chemiresistive gas sensors are attracting attention as the next-generation gas sensors because they are the most suitable for mobile gas sensors owing to their ultra-small size and low price. 9 There are various types of sensing materials in chemiresistive gas sensors, 8, 9, 10, 11 and semiconductor metal oxide (SMO) is one of the most widely used materials.

The SMO gas sensors use metal oxides as the sensing materials and can detect target gases based on the changes in the resistance of the sensing materials due to the modulation of the electron depletion layer thickness caused by absorption and desorption of the target gases from the surface of the metal oxides. 12 However, because of the simple sensing principle of the SMO gas sensors, they have a critical disadvantage of low selectivity since they can react with all gases that cause the change of the electron depletion layer. 13 Therefore, various studies have been conducted to solve the low selectivity problem of the SMO gas sensors, and typical methods are to use catalysts that can provide high sensor responses to specific gases, and filters to penetrate target gases preferentially. 14-20 Nevertheless, the use of catalysts is not able to secure sufficient selectivity when the concentrations of other gases are higher than that of a particular target gas, and also using filters degrades sensing performances of the sensor such as sensitivity and response time.

In order to overcome these limitations, the electronic nose (E-nose) system is in the spotlight as a promising method to increase the selectivity of the SMO gas sensors. 21 The E-nose system, which mimics the human olfactory system, is a method to classify gas types and to predict their concentrations by analyzing the patterns of the sensor responses collected from the sensor array through machine learning. 22, 23 The E-nose system can collect response patterns of the gas sensors in different conditions because the sensor array uses multiple types of sensing materials or gas sensors with different driving temperature conditions. 24-27 Since the E-nose system does not directly affect the sensing materials of gas sensors, there are no problems that have been caused by the use of filters or catalysts. In the E-nose system, it is an important issue to ensure the sensor-to-sensor uniformity because the machine learning algorithm, which classifies the target gases and predicts the concentrations, is trained based on the sensors’ responses obtained from the sensor array. However, recently developed
Figure 1. (a) Schematic diagram for the fabrication of high batch-uniform semiconductor metal oxide (SMO) gas sensors using glancing angle deposition (GLAD). (b) Microscopic image of the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensors whose sensing material is with nanocolumnar In$_2$O$_3$ thin film deposited through GLAD. (c) Real-time classification and regression of target gases by convolutional neural network (CNN) based analysis of gas sensing data. The CNN consists of a single convolution layer and multiple fully connected layers, for real-time selective gas detection. The input data of the network are the sensor response features that contain the information from the transient region by using the time window, and the output data of the network are both gas types and concentration values of the target gases.

SMO gas sensors have been fabricated by screen printing, electrohydrodynamic printing (EHD), and inkjet printing of sensing materials, which suffer from poor uniformity between fabricated gas sensors due to the low precision of these printing methods. In addition, traditional machine learning algorithms such as principal components analysis (PCA), support vector machine (SVM), etc. failed to conduct selective gas detection in real-time, because they could only utilize the steady-state responses of the sensor array due to the limitations in their decoding performances.

In this study, to ensure the general availability of E-nose systems, a gas sensor array with a uniform sensing performance was fabricated through glancing angle deposition (GLAD) conducted on a wafer-scale. SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO were used as the sensing materials for the SMO gas sensors, and as shown in Figure 1b, a micro-electro-mechanical-system (MEMS)-based suspended microheater platform was applied to the SMO gas sensors to meet the high operating temperature conditions required for SMO gas sensors with low power consumption.

In addition, by fabricating the sensor control module for heater control and sensor data acquisition, sensing data from the gas sensor array was simultaneously collected in CO, NH$_3$, NO$_2$, CH$_4$, and Acetone (C$_3$H$_6$O) gas tests, and it was possible to verify the uniform performance of the SMO gas sensors. Also, by adopting the convolutional neural network (CNN) using the input data as a matrix form without vectorization for selective gas detection, both the information generated from a gas sensor array consisting of various gas sensors and the temporal information, which is the information on time-varying sensing patterns, could be used as the input data. Finally, by applying the CNN to the pre-processed sensor response data with a moving time window, it was possible to utilize the data in the transient region, and real-time selective gas detection was conducted for the SMO gas sensors that were not used for the training algorithm of the CNN (Figure 1c).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GLAD is a fabrication process that performs the physical vapor deposition (PVD) of target materials on a wafer-scale with very high uniformity. Also, because the deposition proceeds while the substrate is rotated at a certain angle with respect to the target materials, a nanoscale shadow area is generated by the nanoparticles that first reach the substrate, and thus nanocolumnar thin films are fabricated. In this study, nanocolumnar thin films of 4 types of metal oxides (SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO) were deposited via RF sputtering at a glancing angle of 85°. SEM images of 4 types of metal oxide thin films are as shown in Figure 2a-d, and all types of the metal oxides were
Figure 2. SEM images with top and cross-sectional (inset) views of nanocolumnar metal oxide thin films deposited by a glancing angle deposition (GLAD) method - (a) SnO$_2$, (b) In$_2$O$_3$, (c) WO$_3$, and (d) CuO. (e) Base resistance distribution of In$_2$O$_3$ deposited through GLAD (measurement at the temperature of 250°C and bias of 3V). The evaluation was performed with 24 samples. (f) Deviation rate of the base resistance of nanocolumnar SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO thin films on the suspended microheater platform when an electrical power of 11 mW was applied to the microheater to generate the high-temperature condition. The average deviation rate was 15.7%, 10.0%, 11.6%, and 12.4%, respectively.

Figure S1 shows the SEM images of In$_2$O$_3$ films deposited in the form of nanocolumns, which provides large surface-to-volume ratios of the sensing materials. In Figure S1, it is observed that the nanocolumnar thin film deposited through the GLAD has much better sensing performance than the dense thin film deposited through conventional RF sputtering in NO$_2$ gas test.

In addition, porosity values of the nanocolumnar thin films deposited through the GLAD at 85° glancing angle were calculated. Assuming that the film has a prismatic columnar structure, the porosity value was estimated as top-view area of voids / total top-view area × 100 (%). The flow of image processing is shown in Figure S2a, and it was possible to automatically capture voids from the top-view SEM image of In$_2$O$_3$ films. The voids area was captured through contrast differences in the SEM image using the ImageJ software (auto setting). The area fraction of voids on the In$_2$O$_3$ films was calculated by the captured voids area. Figure S2b shows the porosity distribution of five different In$_2$O$_3$ film samples, and the porosity of the films is very uniform regardless of location in the films (average porosity: 46.4±2% and standard deviation: 0.6%). Also, the base resistance values of the 24 nanocolumnar In$_2$O$_3$ film samples deposited on the sensing electrode array on a 4-inch silicon wafer were measured at 250°C using a semiconductor analysis equipment. As shown in Figure 2e, the average base resistance value of 24 samples was 27.07 kΩ and the standard deviation was 4.03 kΩ, which shows that the distribution of base resistance is reasonably narrow owing to the uniformity of the nanocolumnar films deposited through GLAD. Furthermore, Figure S2c shows the dynamic responses (R$_{air}$/R$_{gas}$) of the 4 different nanocolumnar In$_2$O$_3$ thin films deposited on the sensing electrodes to NH$_3$ gas, and the relative standard deviation values ($\sigma_{std.}/\mu_{mean} \times 100$ (%)) of the responses for each concentration were very low (about 3.1%). Therefore, through these results, it is confirmed that the nanocolumnar metal oxide thin films deposited through the GLAD have highly uniform resistance values, geometry, and sensing performance.

On the other hand, the uniformity of metal oxide nanofibers printed through the EHD printing was much lower than that of nanocolumnar films deposited through the GLAD. Figure S3 shows the deviation rate of the base resistance of metal oxide nanofibers patterned on the sensing electrode array using the EHD printing (average base resistance: 22.15 kΩ and standard deviation: 7.86 kΩ for 16 samples), and it is confirmed that the base resistance uniformity of the metal oxide is highly improved using GLAD compared to the EHD printing method. Figure S4 shows the dynamic responses of 3 different SnO$_2$ and In$_2$O$_3$ nanofibers printed on the sensing electrodes through the EHD printing to the NH$_3$ gas. The relative standard deviation values of the responses of the gas sensors with SnO$_2$ and In$_2$O$_3$ nanofibers were higher than the values of the gas sensors fabricated through the GLAD (EHD-based SnO$_2$: 6.7%, EHD-based In$_2$O$_3$: 3.4%). Furthermore, when the responses of the gas sensors were quantified as (R$_{air}$ - R$_{gas}$)/R$_{air}$, the relative standard deviation values were much higher than the values of the GLAD-based gas sensors (EHD-based SnO$_2$: 48.2%, EHD-based In$_2$O$_3$: 30.4% vs. GLAD-based In$_2$O$_3$: 6.6%). In conclusion, in this study, the nanocolumnar thin films of the metal oxides with high uniformity were successfully fabricated using GLAD process and these films could be used as the sensing materials for the SMO gas sensors.
SMO gas sensors are generally operated at high temperatures (200°C to 400°C) to promote the redox reaction of the gases generated on the surface of the metal oxide. In order to provide such a high-temperature environment with low power consumption, SMO gas sensors have used the MEMS-based microheater platform operated by the Joule heating, and the metal oxide is deposited on the microheater to transfer the heat energy to the metal oxide with high efficiency. In this study, a bridge-shaped suspended microheater platform, which has an advantage in low power consumption since the heat leaks only through the thin bridge, was fabricated for the SMO gas sensors according to our previous studies. Afterward, 4 types of metal oxides, SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO, were deposited on the suspended microheater platform through the GLAD process. The detailed description of the fabrication process of the microheater platform-based gas sensors is provided in the “Experimental Section” and Figure S5 in the Supporting Information. The fabricated microheater platform generates a temperature condition of 250°C by applying a power of 11 mW. Evaluation of heating performance of the microheater was conducted without sensing materials, and the heating performance of the microheater is presented in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information. In addition, as shown in Figure S7, we confirmed that the microheater worked very well with a high stability for 12 hours by measuring the resistance change of the microheater while a constant DC power was applied to the heater. In order to evaluate the uniformity of the thin films deposited on the suspended microheater platform, the base resistance values of the metal oxide thin films were measured. Figure 2f shows the deviation rate of the base resistance for each metal oxide thin film measured when an electrical power of 11 mW was applied to each microheater. The deviation rate values were quantified as $(R - R_{avg})/R_{avg} \times 100$ (%), and the measurement results demonstrate that the deviation rate for each metal oxide was about 10%. This result indicates that the metal oxide thin films patterned on the microheater were also highly uniform without chemical changes even after additional fabrication processes such as the XeF$_2$ etching for the suspended bridge structure formation.

As shown in Figure 3a, 4 chips (3.3 mm × 3.3 mm) consisting of 4 gas sensors with each sensing material (SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO) were integrated into a printed circuit board (PCB), and this gas sensor array was used to check the sensing performance and uniformity of the fabricated gas sensors. In order to collect the sensing responses of the gas sensors in real-time, a module capable of simultaneously driving the gas sensor array is required. Therefore, based on our previous research, an integrated sensor control module for driving the gas sensor array and collecting multiple sensing responses was fabricated. Figure 3b shows a simplified overview of the sensor control module, and the module can perform simultaneous feedback control of the electrical power applied to 16 individual microheaters of the sensor array. Furthermore, through a high-precision analog circuit, it can measure a wide range of the resistance values of the sensing materials from 1 kΩ to 1 GΩ. In addition, 16 sensor responses are collected by a microcontroller unit (MCU) through a multiplexer and an analog-to-digital converter, and finally transmitted to the computer. The image of the sensor control module
Figure 4. Dynamic responses of the fabricated 4 gas sensors with SnO$_2$ to (a) CO and (b) C$_3$H$_6$O gas when an electrical power of 11 mW was applied to the microheater to generate the high-temperature condition. Shaded and unshaded areas represent the target gas with specified concentrations and synthetic air ambient, respectively. (c) The relative standard deviation of gas sensor response from 4x4 gas sensor array for each sensing material. (d) Responses of the gas sensor array to the target gases and sample-to-sample variation of the responses in each target gas.

connected to the gas sensor array can be seen in Figure 3c, and the detailed description of the sensor control module is provided in the "Experimental Section".

In the gas test, CO, NO$_2$, NH$_3$, CH$_4$, and C$_3$H$_6$O were used as the target gases, and a gas test setup was constructed using the gas sensor array and sensor control module as shown in Figure S8 in the Supporting Information. The responses of the gas sensors were quantified as $R_{gas}/R_{air}$, and 4 gas sensors were used for each sensing material in the gas test. The detailed description of setting conditions for the gas test is provided in the "Experimental Section". Figure 4a and b shows the dynamic responses of the 4 gas sensors with SnO$_2$, to the CO and C$_3$H$_6$O gases, and the dynamic responses of the gas sensors were very uniform even with different gas sensors. Figure S9-S13 shows the dynamic responses of the gas sensor array to the target gases. In order to check the uniformity of the responses of the different gas sensors, relative standard deviation values of the responses were calculated, and the values were around 5%, which confirms that the fabricated gas sensors have highly uniform sensing performance as well as uniform base resistance (Figure 4c). Additionally, as shown in Figure S14, repeatability tests were conducted for the CO gas to check the sensing stability of the gas sensor array (Si) when an electrical power of 11 mW was applied to the microheaters.

As a result of the tests, there were almost no errors in the sensor responses when repeatedly exposed to the CO gas. Therefore, through the repeatability tests, it is also confirmed that the fabricated gas sensors have an excellent sensing stability at 250 °C. Figure 4d shows the responses of the gas sensor array to the target gases and sample-to-sample variation of the responses to each target gas. The responses of the n-type semiconductor-based gas sensors (SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$) were smaller than 1, and the responses of the p-type semiconductor-based gas sensors (CuO) were larger than 1 to the reducing gases (CO, NH$_3$, CH$_4$, and C$_3$H$_6$O). On the other hand, in NO$_2$ gas that is an oxidizing gas, the gas sensor arrays showed the opposite tendency of the responses to the reducing gases. In Figure 4d, it can be seen that the responses to the target gases overlap in specific concentration ranges. Therefore, in this study, deep learning algorithm was used to classify the gas types and to predict concentrations by conducting pattern recognition of the sensor responses.

The CNN is a deep learning network that can prevent loss of information that occurs in the process of reducing the dimension of input data because the input data can be used as a matrix without vectorization in the CNN. In this study, by adopting the CNN as a deep learning network for
Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of pre-processing of the sensing data from the microheater platform-based SMO gas sensor array. (i) shows raw sensing data from the gas sensors. (ii) shows normalized data by using log and base resistance. (iii) shows the method to collect the data with a 10-sec time window. (b) Structure of the convolutional neural network for classifying six gas species (i.e., Air, CO, NH₃, NO₂, CH₄, and C₃H₆O) and for quantifying concentrations of each gas. The network consists of one convolution layer and six fully connected layers (Dense). Six convolutional kernels (8×5) are used to calculate convolution for the feature extraction, and rectified linear unit (ReLU) function is used as an activation function. Batch normalization is conducted in all layers, and the softmax function is used for classification in the output layer.

In this study, gas sensing data collected from the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensor arrays consisting of 4 types of metal oxides with and without Au nanoparticle decoration (1 nm) for five types of target gases were pre-processed by the methods described above, and Figure S9-S13 and Figure S15-S19 shows the dynamic responses of the gas sensor arrays to the target gases. The structure of the CNN used to conduct selective gas detection based on the pre-processed data is shown in Figure 5b. The pre-processed data was utilized as the input data of CNN in a 8×10 matrix, where 8 is the number for gas sensor types and 10 is the number of sensing data for each gas sensor. In the convolution layer, six kernels were used to perform the convolution operation, and the rectified linear unit (ReLU) was used as an activation function in the operation to minimize the vanishing gradient problem.

In this study, gas sensing data collected from the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensor array consisting of various gas sensors and the temporal information, which is the information on time-varying sensing patterns, could be used as the input data. Pytorch (Facebook, USA), which is an open-source library for the deep learning, was used to build the CNN with Python language, and the neural network computation was performed in a graphical processing unit (GPU) environment (RTX Titan, NVIDIA, USA). In order to use the gas sensor data collected from the sensor control module as the input data of the CNN and to set the true label of the learning network, data pre-processing was performed as shown in Figure 5a. First, normalization was carried out by dividing the resistance of the sensing materials by the base resistance values, and a common log was taken to offset the scale deviation caused by the range of response values depending on the target gas properties. After that, for real-time data pattern analysis, a 10-second time window was applied to input the gas sensing data for 10 seconds into the CNN every second, which made it possible to utilize the information obtained from the transient region. Table S1 shows the labeling of the gas types and concentrations for setting true labels of the deep learning network. The true label for the gas type classification was allocated by using the one-hot-encoding method for a total of 6 cases including air conditions where no target gas exists. In the prediction of the gas concentration, because the gas concentration ranges used in the gas test were different depending on the target gas type, the maximum gas concentration value used in the test for each target gas was normalized to 10, and the normalized concentration was used as the true label for the prediction of the gas concentration.

In this study, gas sensing data collected from the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensor array consisting of various gas sensors and the temporal information, which is the information on time-varying sensing patterns, could be used as the input data. Pytorch (Facebook, USA), which is an open-source library for the deep learning, was used to build the CNN with Python language, and the neural network computation was performed in a graphical processing unit (GPU) environment (RTX Titan, NVIDIA, USA). In order to use the gas sensor data collected from the sensor control module as the input data of the CNN and to set the true label of the learning network, data pre-processing was performed as shown in Figure 5a. First, normalization was carried out by dividing the resistance of the sensing materials by the base resistance values, and a common log was taken to offset the scale deviation caused by the range of response values depending on the target gas properties. After that, for real-time data pattern analysis, a 10-second time window was applied to input the gas sensing data for 10 seconds into the CNN every second, which made it possible to utilize the information obtained from the transient region. Table S1 shows the labeling of the gas types and concentrations for setting true labels of the deep learning network. The true label for the gas type classification was allocated by using the one-hot-encoding method for a total of 6 cases including air conditions where no target gas exists. In the prediction of the gas concentration, because the gas concentration ranges used in the gas test were different depending on the target gas type, the maximum gas concentration value used in the test for each target gas was normalized to 10, and the normalized concentration was used as the true label for the prediction of the gas concentration.

In this study, gas sensing data collected from the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensor arrays consisting of 4 types of metal oxides with and without Au nanoparticle decoration (1 nm) for five types of target gases were pre-processed by the methods described above, and Figure S9-S13 and Figure S15-S19 shows the dynamic responses of the gas sensor arrays to the target gases. The structure of the CNN used to conduct selective gas detection based on the pre-processed data is shown in Figure 5b. The pre-processed data was utilized as the input data of CNN in a 8×10 matrix, where 8 is the number for gas sensor types and 10 is the number of sensing data for each gas sensor. In the convolution layer, six kernels were used to perform the convolution operation, and the rectified linear unit (ReLU) was used as an activation function in the operation to minimize the vanishing gradient problem. After the convolution layer, fully connected layers of 128, 64, 32, 16, and 8 nodes were used with ReLU, and the batch normalization (BN) was carried out to make the distribution of the input data at every layer more uniform.
Figure 6. Prediction results of (a) gas types summarized in a confusion matrix and (b) gas concentrations normalized in 0 to 10 for the test data set. (c) Response time that was taken to predict the gas types for the test data set by the proposed E-nose system (bar graph) and the conventional response time spent to reach 90% of the saturated response (scatter graph). Real-time prediction results of gas types and concentrations to the (d) CO and (e) C$_2$H$_6$O gases. Shaded and unshaded areas represent the target gas with specified concentrations and synthetic air ambient, respectively.

Last output layer consisted of 7 nodes using 6 nodes for the gas type classification (Classification) and 1 node for the gas concentration prediction (Regression), and the classification and regression were simultaneously performed. The nodes used for the classification calculated the probability values by using the softmax function as an activation function, and the node used for regression did not use the activation function but predicted normalized concentration values through the regression analysis.

In this study, the categorical cross-entropy and mean squared error were used as the loss function for the classification and regression, respectively, and the CNN was trained by considering both the loss generated in the classification and regression because they were simultaneously performed. Since the loss generated in the classification ($L_{\text{Classification}}$) is relatively smaller than that in the regression ($L_{\text{Regression}}$) due to the scale differences of the true labels, the total loss for training the CNN was calculated as $L_{\text{total}} = 20 \times L_{\text{Classification}} + L_{\text{Regression}}$. The adaptive moment estimation (Adam) optimizer was used to minimize the total loss of the network, and the CNN was trained for 100 epochs. The data used for the training and validation of the CNN were the shuffled gas sensing data from three different gas sensors in each sensing material for 9,000 seconds in the 5 types of target gas tests ($3 \times 9,000 \times 5 = 135,000$), and the amount of training data was used twice the amount of validation data. Finally, the test data used for testing the CNN was the sensing data from a new gas sensor array ($1 \times 9,000 \times 5 = 45,000$) that was not used for training the network, which verifies the general availability of the network.

Based on the CNN described above, the classification and regression were simultaneously performed for the 6 types of gases including air condition every second using the new gas sensing data that was not used for training the network. Figure 6a and b shows the result of classifying gas types and prediction of gas concentration. In this study, even using the gas sensor array not used for training the
network, the gas types could be classified very accurately under all situations (total accuracy of classification was 98.06%), and the gas concentration also could be predicted simultaneously with an average error of 10.15%. In addition, the minimum response time to identify the gas types for time for CO, NH₃, NOₓ, CH₄, and C₂H₆O was 112, 57, 174, 44, and 70 seconds, respectively) that is a time spent to reach 90% of the saturated response (Figure 6c). Figure 6d and e shows the real-time prediction results of gas types and concentrations to the CO and C₂H₆O gases, respectively, and the prediction results of the other types of target gases are shown in Figure S2a in the Supporting Information.

For comparison, the classification for 4 types of gases (Air, CO, NH₃, and NOₓ) was performed through the SVM, a traditional machine learning method. The SVM was conducted using MATLAB (MathWorks, USA) which is an engineering software that provides programming and numerical computing environments. In the SVM, training data set (6 × 9 × 3) and test data set (3 × 9 × 3) were selected from the gas sensor array (S1) in the steady region at each concentration. Figure S2b shows the results of the classification using SVM, and the accuracy of the classification was 100%. However, as shown in Figure S2b, when sensing data from the transient region for 50 seconds after the target gas (Air, CO, NH₃, and NOₓ) injection at each concentration was used as the test data set (5 × 8 × 3), the accuracy of the classification was very poor (60%) since the responses to the target gases overlap in the transient region. These results show that traditional machine learning algorithms fail to conduct gas identification in real-time even using data from the same gas sensor array used for training the network due to the limitations in decoding performances.

Table 1 shows the accuracy of gas identification in previous E-nose systems and this study. Unlike previous E-nose systems, the proposed method utilizes the gas sensing data of a new gas sensor, which was not used for training the network, as the test data because the performances of the fabricated gas sensor arrays were very uniform. Furthermore, the number of test data set is significantly higher compared to the previous E-nose systems because our approach realizes real-time, simultaneous prediction of the gas type and concentration. Despite these conditions, it can be confirmed in Table 1 that the proposed system can identify the target gases with a high degree of accuracy similar to other systems. The real-time prediction of gas type and concentration with a new sensor set that was not used for the training is demonstrated for the first time in the E-nose system to the best knowledge of the authors. As a result, it was possible to overcome the limitations in the previous E-nose systems by fabricating the gas sensors with high batch uniformity to secure the general availability of the E-nose system, and by adopting a deep learning algorithm to conduct selective gas detection in real-time.

### CONCLUSION

In this study, we demonstrated an E-nose system that can conduct real-time selective gas detection using batch-uniform gas sensor arrays fabricated through wafer-scale glancing angle deposition and deep learning-based data analysis of multiplexed sensor array. 4 types of metal oxides (SnO₂, In₂O₃, WO₃, and CuO) in the form of a nanocolloidal film with high batch uniformity (deviation rate – 10%) were used as sensing materials for the gas sensors. A suspended microheater platform was used to generate high-temperature conditions for the sensing materials to detect target gases with low power consumption. CO, NH₃, NOₓ, CH₄, and C₂H₆O were used as the target gases, and the gas sensor data were collected using an integrated sensor control module. Through the gas test, it was confirmed that the sensing performances of fabricated gas
sensors were very uniform, with a relative standard deviation of around 5%. Finally, by training the convolutional neural network with the data from the gas sensor array with and without Au nanoparticle decoration, the six types of gas could be classified with about 98% accuracy and their concentrations could be estimated with the 10% error range in real-time. Since the learning algorithms for the sensor responses can be used in batch-uniform gas sensors fabricated through GLAD, it is expected to significantly reduce the time and price required to complete the full inspection of sensors and algorithms used in the E-nose systems. Therefore, the proposed system can improve practical uses of the SMO gas sensors in various domestic and industrial IoT applications. In future research, a study related to diversifying the input data parameters (e.g., humidity or temperature) of the system will be continued for robust, accurate, and selective gas detection even in harsh environments such as mixed gas or high humidity situations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Deposition of sensing materials. 4 types of metal oxide sputtering targets (SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO) were used for deposition of the sensing materials. They were deposited on gold interdigitated electrodes through GLAD via RF sputtering. Photoresist (AZ5214, MicroChemicals, Germany) that was patterned by UV photolithography was used as a shadow mask, and then lift-off process was carried out to pattern the sensing materials after their deposition. In order to form the nanocolumnar thin films, the deposition was conducted through sputtering at a glancing angle of 85°. The base pressure, working pressure, RF power, Ar gas flow rate, and rotation speed were $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr, 4 mTorr, 250 W, 50 sccm, and 4.0 rpm, respectively. As a result of the deposition process, the average thicknesses of SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, and CuO nanocolumnar films were 238 nm, 232 nm, 112 nm, and 210 nm, respectively. For the EHD printing of metal oxide nanofibers, the nanofibers were synthesized by electrospinning process. In order to form printable solutions, the electrospun nanofibers were dispersed in ethylene glycol solution with metal oxide nanofibers was loaded to the syringe that has a needle tip of 90 μm inner diameter. The ethylene glycol ink solution with metal oxide nanofibers was loaded to the syringe that has a needle tip of 90 μm inner diameter. The ink was printed on the sensing electrode by applying a pulse wave bias consisting of base voltage (1.0-1.3 kV) and a jetting voltage (1.2-1.5 kV).

Sensor platform fabrication. For the gas sensing platform, the sensing electrodes were fabricated on a Si substrate. First, the photoresist (AZ5214, MicroChemicals, Germany) was patterned by UV photolithography to pattern the interdigitated gold electrode arrays. Then, an electron beam (E-beam) evaporator was used to deposit a Cr/Au (10 nm/200 nm thickness) layer on the photoresist-patterned wafer followed by lift-off. For the MEMS-based suspended microheater platform, a SiO$_2$ layer of 1 μm thickness was deposited by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) on the Si wafer and Ti/Pt (10 nm/200 nm) microheaters were deposited and patterned on the SiO$_2$ by the E-beam evaporation and the lift-off. Then, for electrical insulation between the sensing electrodes and the microheaters, a SiO$_2$ layer of 800 nm thickness was deposited by PECVD, and the SiO$_2$ insulation layer on the heater pads was selectively etched by buffered oxide etchant (BOE) for the electrical contact of the heater pads. After the selective etching of the insulation layer on the heater pads, Cr/Au (10 nm/200 nm thickness) interdigitated sensing electrodes were deposited by the E-beam evaporation. In order to form the Si etching window required for the suspended microheater, photoresist (AZ9260, MicroChemicals, Germany) was coated and patterned, and SiO$_2$ was etched by reactive ion etching (RIE). Next, after depositing the sensing materials through GLAD via RF sputtering (in case of Au decorated gas sensors, Au nanoparticles were additionally deposited by E-beam evaporation with a deposition thickness of 1 nm), they were patterned by the lift-off. After that, the annealing was performed in a N$_2$ ambient at 400 °C for 2 hours by a furnace to release the residual stress of the SiO$_2$ layer and to anneal the sensing layers. Finally, by etching Si of the etching window region through the XeF$_2$ vapor etching, the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensors were fabricated.

Sensor control module. The sensor control module can be driven by a 5 V USB power source, and the module system contains three parts: power management part, analog front-end (AFE) part, and microcontroller unit (MCU). In the power management part, the charge controller (LM3658, TI, USA) operates in low-dropout mode to generate a constant voltage of 4.2 V. Then, a buck-boost converter converts this voltage to 3.3 V. The AFE can control power applied to the suspended microheaters and drive the metal oxide gas sensors. In this part, a constant voltage is applied to the sensing materials of gas sensors so that a current corresponding to the resistance of the sensing materials flows. Also, for flexible power control of the microheater, a digital-to-analog converter (AD734, Analog Devices, USA) is used, and a current monitoring chip (ADL5315, Analog Devices, USA) is used to monitor the current through the microheater. Data from the gas sensor array is transmitted to the MCU (ATSAMD21G, Atmel, USA) by using an analog-to-digital converter (AD709R8, Analog Devices, USA). Also, for the power control of the microheater and the gain control of the trans-impedance amplifier (AD8574, Analog Devices, USA), a software-based algorithm is conducted.

Characterization of sensing materials and sensors. In order to measure the base resistance of the nanocolumnar metal oxide thin films, a voltage of 3 V was applied to the sensing electrodes of the gas sensing platform, and the currents through the sensors were measured by a semiconductor analyzer (4155A, Hewlett-Packard, USA). Gas detection tests for CO, NH$_3$, NO$_2$, CH$_4$, and C$_2$H$_6$O gases were conducted using the suspended microheater platform-based SMO gas sensors located in a gas chamber. Mass flow
controllers (AFC500, Atovac, KOREA) can control the concentrations of each gas injected into the gas chamber, and the concentration ranges tested for CO, NO\textsubscript{2}, NH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{4}, and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}O were from 5 ppm to 40 ppm, 0.05 ppm to 0.5 ppm, 0.5 ppm to 5 ppm, 10 ppm to 100 ppm, and 1 ppm to 10 ppm, respectively. Instead of using external heat energy, the gas tests were conducted while the sensing materials with and without Au nanoparticle decoration were heated by using the microheaters that were powered by 11 mW and 15 mW, respectively. The current through the sensing materials was measured by a bias of 2.5 V applied to the sensing electrodes using the sensor control module while the gas sensors were exposed to the gases.
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